

### **Employing Model Reduction for Uncertainty Visualization** in the Context of CO<sub>2</sub> Storage Simulation Marcel Hlawatsch, Sergey Oladyshkin, Daniel Weiskopf **University of Stuttgart**



### **Problem setting - underground CO<sub>2</sub> storage**

- Decision making
  - Controversial
  - Impact vs risks
  - Public opinion
- Experiments
  - difficult, expensive
  - only small scale, e.g., porosity tests
- Simulations are important







# Simulation

- Modeling of storage site
  hard to obtain real site conditions
- Uncertain parameters
  - Boundary pressure
  - Barriers
  - •
- Monte Carlo approach





# **Uncertainty visualization**

- Ensemble data
  - Detailed analysis
  - Large, visual overload
- Stochastic data (mean, std. dev. etc.)
  - Smaller data, less visual load
  - Aggregated
- Steering
  - Interactivity on model level
  - Fast simulation, often inaccurate
  - Aggregation expensive
- Possible to get all good properties?
- Stochastic model reduction!



### Simulation



### Dataset



### Visualization







# **Polynomial chaos expansion (PCE)**

- Approximation of model dependence on input
- Original PCE Gaussian distribution of input [Wiener 1938]
- Arbitrary polynomial chaos (aPC) [Oladyshkin 2011]
  - Generalization
  - Incorporation of real probability distributions
- Stochastic quantities "for free": mean, standard deviation
  - Different evaluation of PCE data
  - Aggregation of ensemble not required





# **PCE details**

Model response: projection on polynomial basis [Ashraf 2013]



More details in [Oladyshkin 2012]



## **Computation of PCE data**

- Different techniques to obtain expansion coefficients  $c_i$ 
  - Intrusive techniques modification of simulation code
  - Non-intrusive techniques simulation is black box
- Here: non-intrusive probabilistic collocation method (PCM)
- $n_c$  simulation runs

 $n_{c}$ 

 collocation points from most probable region of input parameter distribution

$$n_c = \frac{(d+n)!}{d!\,n!}$$

$$\Gamma_c - \sum_{i=1}^{N_c} c_i \Pi(\Theta_c) = 0$$
  $\Gamma_c$  - response values  $\Theta_c$  - collocation points



![](_page_6_Picture_11.jpeg)

## PCE data and visualization

- Field of expansion coefficients
- Evaluate polynomials with coefficients and input parameters to obtain result  $n_{-}$

$$\Gamma(\mathbf{x}, t, \Theta) \approx \sum_{i=1}^{n_c} c_i(\mathbf{x}, t) \cdot \Pi_i(\Theta) \qquad \Pi_i(\Theta) = a_{0,i} + a_{1,i}$$

- PCE data on GPU, standard ray casting approach
- 40 fps on middle class machine (818 x 466 viewport)

![](_page_7_Picture_6.jpeg)

### $a_i\theta_n + a_{2,i}\theta_n^2 \dots$

![](_page_7_Picture_9.jpeg)

# Visualization

- Different quantities
  - CO<sub>2</sub> Saturation
  - Pressure
  - Std. deviation
- Interactivity
  - View settings
  - Time series
  - Input parameters
- Averaging of parameters
- Rainbow color map engineers like it ;-)

![](_page_8_Picture_11.jpeg)

![](_page_8_Picture_12.jpeg)

# **Experiences**

- Experts
  - Standard: static snapshots, ROIs, Plots  $\rightarrow$  no interactivity
  - Now: interactive exploration
- Public
  - Open house events
  - Visitors played with application
  - Initiated discussion about technology
  - However: no direct relation to peoples' everyday life

![](_page_9_Figure_9.jpeg)

![](_page_9_Picture_10.jpeg)

![](_page_9_Picture_11.jpeg)

# **Decision making**

- Trade-off: accuracy vs simplicity
- Interactivity on model level important
- Experts
  - Explore model
  - Deeper understanding
- Non-experts
  - Simple visualization
  - Simple interface
  - Interactivity
- Decision communication?

![](_page_10_Picture_11.jpeg)

**Do it!** 

![](_page_10_Picture_12.jpeg)

# Conclusion

- PCE is interesting tool
- Full ensemble accessible by visualization
- PCE approaches potential basis for novel uncertainty visualization techniques
- Increasing number of PCE applications, e.g., emergency management simulations
- Interactive visualization useful for experts and public

![](_page_11_Picture_6.jpeg)

# **Thank you. Questions?**

### **References:**

[Ashraf 2013] M. Ashraf, S. Oladyshkin, and W. Nowak. *Geological storage of CO2: Application*, feasibility and efficiency of global sensitivity analysis and risk assessment using the arbitrary polynomial chaos. International Journal of Greenhouse Gas Control, 19(0):704–719, 2013.

[Oladyshkin 2011] S. Oladyshkin, H. Class, R. Helmig, and W. Nowak. A concept for datadriven uncertainty quantification and its application to carbon dioxide storage in geological formations. Advances in Water Resources, 34(11):1508–1518, 2011.

[Oladyshkin 2012] S. Oladyshkin and W. Nowak. *Data-driven uncertainty quantification using* the arbitrary polynomial chaos expansion. Reliability Engineering & System Safety, 106:179 - 190, 2012.

[Wiener 1938] N. Wiener. The homogeneous chaos. American Journal of Mathematics, 60(4):pp. 897–936, 1938.

![](_page_12_Picture_7.jpeg)